```
This is the comment of Amateur Radio Station KG4ISO to the FCC Regarding RM-
10787. Morse Code is an integral part of amateur radio. I
have been lisenced for only three years, and have
just recently obtained a General class license. My comments are from the
perspective of someone who is new to amateur
radio and was never exposed to formerly. Many arguments that support
dismissing the code requirements
support that amateur radio would be more attractive or easier to access
for people like me. I am not in favor of
eliminating the code requirement. Having recently passed the element 1
requirement gave me a new appreciation
for amateur radio and as well as a sense of accomplishment. Things
worked hard for are better respected.
Eliminating the code requirement would allow easier access to amateur
radio but would take away part of the sense of
accomplishment that leads amateur radio operators to further respect our
privileges. I am in favor of allowing "no code"
operators to have some HF privileges that would entice them further to
advance their license class. The FCC should be
firm in a decision that the United States will preserve the fundamental
and historical foundations of amateur radio.
Morse code is one of the things that uniquely defines amateur radio. The
FCC's decision should be based on what we
as amateur radio operators are trying to accomplish. I agree that
something should be done to get more people involved
with the HF bands. In my opinion we as amateur radio operators should be
moving in a direction that continues to
support the historical aspects of amateur radio as well as our future.
In keeping Morse code alive we accomplish both. It
teaches us the history of radio, helps uniquely define us, and provides
a sense of accomplishment and respect for amateur radio.
Daniel Drake, KG4ISO
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.512 / Virus Database: 309 - Release Date: 8/19/2003
----- NextPart 000 0017 01C3722B.97DBA3E0
Content-Type: text/html;
      charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0 Transitional//EN">
<HTML><HEAD>
<META http-equiv=3DContent-Type content=3D"text/html;
charset=3Diso-8859-1">
<META content=3D"MSHTML 6.00.2800.1226" name=3DGENERATOR>
<STYLE></STYLE>
</HEAD>
<BODY bgColor=3D#ffffff>
<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2>ECFS- Email
Filing<BR>&lt;PROCEEDING&qt;RM-10787<BR>&lt;DATE&qt;09/03/03<BR>&lt;NAME&
qt;Daniel
James Drake<BR>&lt;ADDRESS1&gt;427 Cooper
Street<BR>&lt;ADDRESS2&gt;<BR>&lt;CITY&gt;Winterville<BR>&lt;STATE&gt;NC<
BR><ZIP&gt;28590<BR>&lt;LAW-FIRM&gt;<BR>&lt;ATTORNEY&gt;<BR>&lt;FILE-N
```

UMBER><BR>&lt;DOCUMENT-TYPE&gt;CO<BR>&lt;PHONE-NUMBER&gt;252-717-2605
BR>&lt;DESCRIPTION&gt;Email

Comment<BR>&lt;CONTACT-EMAIL&gt;kg4iso@arrl.org<BR>&lt;TEXT&gt;This is the

comment of Amateur Radio Station KG4ISO to the FCC Regarding RM-10787. Morse

Code is an integral part of amateur radio. I have been lisenced for only three

years, and have<BR>just recently obtained a General class license. My comments

are from the perspective of someone who is new to amateur<BR>radio and was never

exposed to formerly. Many arguments that support dismissing the code requirements<BR>support that amateur radio would be more attractive or easier to

access for people like me. I am not in favor of <BR>eliminating the code

requirement. Having recently passed the element 1 requirement gave me a  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{new}}$ 

appreciation<BR>for amateur radio and as well as a sense of accomplishment.

Things worked hard for are better respected.<BR>Eliminating the code requirement

would allow easier access to amateur radio but would take away part of the sense

of<BR>accomplishment that leads amateur radio operators to further respect our

privileges. I am in favor of allowing "no code"<BR>operators to have some HF

privileges that would entice them further to advance their license class. The

FCC should be  ${\tt <BR>}$  firm in a decision that the United States will preserve the

fundamental and historical foundations of amateur radio.<BR>Morse code is one of

the things that uniquely defines amateur radio. The FCC's decision should be

based on what we  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{BR}}\xspace > \ensuremath{\mathsf{as}}\xspace$  amateur radio operators are trying to accomplish. I agree

that something should be done to get more people involved<BR>with the HF bands.

In my opinion we as a mateur radio operators should be moving in a direction that

continues to  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{CBR}}\xspace> \ensuremath{\mathsf{support}}\xspace$  the historical aspects of amateur radio as well as our

future. In keeping Morse code alive we accomplish both. It<BR>teaches us the

history of radio, helps uniquely define us, and provides a sense of accomplishment and respect for amateur radio.<br/>
KG4ISO</FONT></DIV>

<DIV>&nbsp;</DIV>

<DIV><FONT face=3DArial size=3D2><BR>---<BR>Outgoing mail is certified

Free. < BR > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (< A

href=3D"http://www.grisoft.com">http://www.grisoft.com</A>).<BR>Version:
6.0.512 /

Virus Database: 309 - Release Date: 8/19/2003</FONT></DIV></BODY></HTML>

----=\_NextPart\_000\_0017\_01C3722B.97DBA3E0--